# **Enos Lake 2017 Annual Water Quality Technical Report**



#### **Prepared For:**

Farrell Turvey FW Developments Ltd. 305-1788 West 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1P2

#### **Prepared By:**

Sandy Foxall, B.Sc BC Conservation Foundation #1-7217 Lantzville Road Lantzville, BC VOR 2H0

# Introduction

In late February 2017, FW Developments Ltd. contracted BC Conservation Foundation (BCCF) to undertake the first quarter of 2017 water sampling based on parameters and sampling procedures outlined in the *Enos Lake Protection and Monitoring Program* (ELPMP [PGL 2016]). Sampling was completed on March 2, 8, 13, 16 and 23, 2017.

In late May, BCCF received a contract to complete the second quarter component of the program. Sampling was completed on June 2, 2017.

In mid-August, BCCF was awarded a third contract to complete the remainder of the 2017 sampling program in partnership with a local stewardship group, *Friends of Enos Lake*. The third quarter component was completed on August 24 and 29, and September 5, 11 and 14, 2017. The fourth quarter component was completed on November 20, 2017.

This report presents a summary of the findings from the 2017 water sampling program. The report includes the suggestions for reporting outlined in the ELPMP including, but not limited to:

- A summary of work performed, including dates, individuals, weather conditions, methods, QA/QC protocols, and any challenges encountered during the work
- A presentation of the water quality results presented in graphical form compared against the targets listed in the ELPMP
- A summary of preventative actions taken with respect to aquatic invasive species undertaken in the past year (e.g. signage, educational materials for residents or visitors, etc.)
- Any anecdotal observations related to Enos Lake ecology, including but not limited to aquatic invasive species
- An interpretation of the results of the program for the past year, conducted by an experienced, qualified limnologist provided in report form, including but not limited to input provided for storm water management practices or new phases of construction (included as an appendix)
- Recommendations for augmentation to the program, if relevant.
- Laboratory certificates and raw data for the year, as appendices.

# Methods

## Scope of Work

BCCF conducted water quality sampling in Enos Lake as described in the ELPMP (Table 1). All sampling outlined in the program was completed in 2017. During each field session, samples were collected from site SWMP-03 (Figure 1), located in the deepest part of the lake. In August, *E. Coli* and surface sediment samples were also collected in shallow water at the north

and south ends of the lake, sites SWMP-04 and SWMP-06, respectively (Figure 1). All sites were accessed by boat.

Parameter	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Dissolved		-			-			-			F	
Oxygen		Г			F			F			Г	
Temperature		F			F			F			F	
Redox		F			-			Г			с	
potential		Г						Г			Г	
рН		F			F			F			F	
Secchi Depth		F			F			F			F	
Chlorophyll a		L			L			L			L	
Phosphorus		L			L			L			L	
E. Coli								E				
Metals		Μ						М				
Hardness		Μ						М				
РАН								Р				
Legend:												
L= Water sample	e from	three d	epths at	SWMP	-03							

#### Table 1: Overview of the 2017 Enos Lake Protection and Monitoring Program

F = 1m in-situ profiles from SWMP-03

E = Five samples in 30 days, from SWMP-03 and any two shoreline locations

M = Five samples in 30 days, from SWMP-03

P = Surface sediment from SWMP-03, SWMP-06 and SWMP-04

Source: Table 3.1 of the Enos Lake Protection and Monitoring Program (PGL 2016)



## Field Equipment

The following equipment was utilized for sampling:

- YSI multi-parameter water quality meter (model Professional Plus)
- Notebook and pencil
- Secchi disk
- 1 L Van Dorn water sampler
- Boat (with electric motor)
- Personal Flotation Devices (PFDs)
- Sample bottles and jars provided by Maxxam Analytics
- Chain of Custody (COC) form to send to Maxxam with samples
- Cooler with ice
- Ekman grab sampler (for sediment sampling in August only)

## Data Collection

#### In-situ Field Parameters

In-situ water quality parameters were collected once per sampling quarter at site SWMP-03. Readings were recorded at 1 m intervals throughout the water column. In-situ parameters included:

- Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)
- Temperature (°C)
- Conductivity (μS/cm)
- Redox potential (mV)
- pH

A Secchi depth (water clarity) measurement was also recorded once per quarter using a Secchi disk.

#### Water Samples

Water samples were collected at 1, 5 and 10 m depths. Surface (1 m) samples were collected by hand, and the deep water samples were collected using a 1 L Van Dorn water sampler. Water sampling procedures followed guidelines provided by Maxxam Analytics in addition to the guidelines outlined in the Ambient Freshwater and Effluent Sampling Manual (BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection 2003). Water samples were placed in bottles provided by Maxxam and packed in a cooler with ice and completed COC form. Samples were shipped to Maxxam Analytics in Burnaby for analysis.

#### Sediment Samples

Sediment samples were collected on August 29<sup>th</sup>, 2017 using an Ekman grab sampler.

## Analysis

Testing of all water and soil samples was conducted by Maxxam Analytics. Maxxam is a laboratory accredited facility for conducting water quality testing. All of their procedures, including Quality Assurance/ Quality Control (QA/QC), are based upon recognized Provincial and Federal methodologies. Water quality reports were sent to BCCF within one week of sample collection. As 2017 sampling was completed, results were compiled and sent to limnologist Rick Nordin (Victoria, BC) for analysis and comparison to water quality guidelines and to data collected previously on Enos Lake.

# Results

Results for all parameters were below water quality targets outlined in the ELPMP apart from total phosphorus and dissolved oxygen concentrations in the hypolimnion. The annual average for total phosphorus in 2017 was 19  $\mu$ g/L, which far exceeds the water quality target of 12  $\mu$ g/L. Total phosphorus during spring overturn was 12.4 mg/L which only slightly exceeds the target. The water quality target for dissolved oxygen (DO) is greater than 2 mg/L in the hypolimnion. DO values were below this target in deep waters during the summer (June through August [Nordin 2017]). No signs of aquatic invasive species were observed during any of the sampling sessions.

Complete laboratory results are provided in Table 2. Field photos from the sampling program are provided in Appendix A. In-situ field parameter results from each quarter, including weather conditions and field personnel, are provided in Appendix B. Laboratory reports provided by Maxxam for each sampling event are provided in Attachment I. Rick Nordin's analysis of the 2017 water sampling results is summarized in his report titled, *Enos Lake Protection and Monitoring Program: Review of 2017 Water Quality Data*, provided in Attachment II.

## Table 2: Summary of Water Quality Results from 2017 Sampling Program

2017 WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROG	RAM LAB RESU	LTS					1st (	Quarter Sam	pling						2nd Qu	arter Sampling												Thire	d Quarter S	ampling						_				4th C	Quarter Samp	oling
Sampling Date			2-M	r-17 P-03	8-Ma SWIV	ar-17 IP-03		13-Mar-17 SWMP-03	·	15-I SW	Mar-17 MP-03		Mar-23-1 SWMP-0	17	s	2-Jun-17 WMP-03		24 SWMP-03	4-Aug-17 SV	WMP-4 SWMP-0	6	SWMP-	2-03	29-Aug	ig-17 SWN	MP-06	SWMP	-04	SW	5-S	ep-17 SWMP-04 SWMI	2-06	SWMP-03	11-Sep-1	17 SWMP-06 SWMP-04	04	1 SWMP-03	4-Sep-17 Sl	WMP-06 SWMP-04		20-Nov-17 SWMP-03	<u> </u>
Depth			1m 5	n 10 m	1m 5	m 10 m	1 m	5 m	10 m	1m !	5m 10	m 1m	5 m	10 m	1 m	5m 10m	1 m	5 m	10 m	1m 1m	Sediment 1	1 m	5 m	10 m	1 m	Sediment	1m Se	ediment	1m !	5m 10	0m 1m 1m	1m	5 m	10 m	1m 1m	1 m	5 m	10 m	1m 1m	1 m	5 m	10 m
Water Quality Parameters	Units	RDL		F 10.0							_				2.70	44.2 44.5	4.00	0.45	20.2			-	-							-			_	-						3.07	6.65	
Chlorophyll a	ug/L	0.50	11.4 13	.5 10.8									-	-	3.76	14.2 11.5	4.88	8.16	28.3				-			-			-	-			-	-	• •		-	-		7.07	6.16	6.8
Total Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	0.5	49.1 44	.8 43.2											-								-			•				-							-					
Misc. Inorganics																																										
Dissolved Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	0.5	41.9 42	.2 42.1	46.6 46	.5 44.3	43.5	43.8	42.7	43.7	44 43	42.3	42.7	42.7	-		54.3	48.7	49.8		4	49.2	45.5	45.7			-		50.5	45.4 4	16.5	49.3	46.4	45.2	· ·	52.2	52.5	49.7				
F. coli	CEU/100ml	1															1			5 9		1			7		7		1		- 3 21	6			31 6	9			5 7			
Anions	Ci 0/ 100m2																-			5		-							-		5 21	0			51 0	5			3 7			
Orthophosphate	mg/L	0.001	0.007 0.0	0.0063						-			-	-	0.015	0.0015 0.0011	0.0032	0.0049	0.0011				-			-		-		-		-	-		· ·		-			0.00	0.00	0.0
Nutrients																0.0040	0.0140	0.0145	0.000																					0.01	0.01	
Total Phosphorus (P) Dissolved Metals by ICPMS	mg/L	0.002	0.012 0.0	0.0123									-	-	0.0419	0.0218 0.0389	0.0118	0.0145	0.033				-			-			-	-			-	-	• •		-	-		0.01	0.01	0.0
Dissolved Aluminum (AI)	ug/L	3.0			21.4 22	.3 24	21.5	22	22	21.4	22.3 24	4 18.2	18.4	18.2	-		29.4	9.8	12.9		2	26.3	8.3	12.7					27.7	7.3 9	9.90	26.1	14.3	11.7		20.6	17.9	12.9				
Dissolved Antimony (Sb)	ug/L	0.50		-	<0.50 <0.	50 <0.50	0 <0.50	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50 <	0.50 <0.	.50 <0.50	) <0.50	<0.50	-		<0.50	<0.50	<0.50		<	<0.50	<0.50	<0.50	-	-	-	- <	<0.50 <	<0.50 <0	0.50	< 0.50	<0.50	<0.50		< 0.50	<0.50	<0.50				
Dissolved Arsenic (As)	ug/L	0.10			0.14 0.	13 0.13	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.13 0.1	13 0.14	0.15	0.14	-		0.19	0.14	0.18		(	0.17	0.14	0.16	-	-	-	-	0.18	0.14 0	.19	0.17	0.15	0.15		0.18	0.18	0.18				
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	ug/L	1.0			14.6 15	.1 14.4	15.6	15.5	15.1	14.6	15.1 14	10 10.10	14.6	14.9			18.9	17.6	15.5			19	17.8	16.4	-		-	-	19.1	18.6 1	5.50	19.4	21.9	18		19.8	19.9	17.7				
Dissolved Beryllium (Be)	ug/L	1.0	-		<1.0 <1	10 <0.10	<1.0	<0.10	<1.0	<1.0 <	<1.0 <1.	.0 <1.0	<1.0	<1.0			<0.10	<0.10	<0.10			<1.0	<0.10	<1.0					<1.0	<1.0 <	1.0	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		<0.10	<1.0	<1.0				
Dissolved Boron (B)	ug/L	50			<50 <5	60 <50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50 <5	50 <50	<50	<50			<50	<50	<50			<50	<50	<50	-	-	-	-	<50	<50 <	<50	<50	<50	<50		<50	<50	<50				
Dissolved Cadmium (Cd)	ug/L	0.010		-	<0.010 <0.	010 <0.01	0 <0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010 <	0.010 <0.0	010 <0.01	0 <0.010	0.018	-		<0.010	<0.010	<0.010		<	0.010	<0.010	<0.010	-	-		- <	0.010 <	0.010 <0	.010	< 0.01	<0.010	< 0.010		<0.010	<0.010	<0.010				
Dissolved Chromium (Cr)	ug/L	1.0			<1.0 <1	.0 <1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0 ·	<1.0 <1	.0 <1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0			<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-	· ·	-		<1.0	<1.0 <		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	· ·	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0				
Dissolved Cobalt (Co)	ug/L	0.20	-		<0.20 <0	20 <0.20	0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20 <	12 10.20	.20 <0.20	0 <0.20	<0.20	-		<0.20	<0.20	0.27		<	<0.20	<0.20	0.23	-	-	-	- <	< 0.20 <	0.20 0	.22	<0.20	<0.20	0.23		<0.20	<0.20	0.29				
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	ug/L	5		-	120 12	1.00	105	109	108	120	120 11	18 102	105	107	-		16.1	26	1160			12	27.9	1420			-	-	11.6	120 32	25.00	14.1	37.8	576		15.6	20.2	1420				
Dissolved Lead (Pb)	ug/L	0.20		-	<0.20 1.	13 1.61	<0.20	0.48	1.63	<0.20	1.13 1.6	61 <0.20	1.73	0.63	-		<0.20	0.28	0.29		<	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20		-	-	- •	<0.20	0.22 <0	0.20	<0.20	0.25	<0.20		<0.20	<0.20	<0.20				
Dissolved Lithium (Li)	ug/L	2.0		-	<2.0 <2	.0 <2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0 <2	.0 <2.0	<2.0	<2.0	-	· ·	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0			<2.0	<2.0	<2.0		-	-	-	<2.0	<2.0 <	2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0		<2.0	<2.0	<2.0				
Dissolved Manganese (Mn) Dissolved Molyhdenum (Mo)	ug/L	1.0		-	24.7 25	.6 24.5	24.2	23.8	23.5	24.7	25.6 24	0 19.5	18.9	20.5			<1.0	Z.8	537 <1.0		-	<1.0	2.3	489	•				<1.0	5/.8 55 <10		<1.0	2.1	558 <1.0		<1.0	<1.0	726 <1.0				
Dissolved Nickel (Ni)	ug/L	1.0			<1.0 <1	.0 <1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0 <1	.0 <1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0			<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-		-		<1.0	<1.0 <	1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0				
Dissolved Selenium (Se)	ug/L	0.10		-	<0.10 <0	10 <0.10	0 <0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10 <	0.10 <0.	.10 <0.10	) <0.10	<0.10	-		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		<	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		-	-	- 4	<0.10 <	<0.10 <	0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10				
Dissolved Silicon (Si)	ug/L	100			3930 39	20 3630	3450	3460	3290	3930	3920 36	30 3050	2970	3150	-		2140	2740	4180		1	1980	2600	3890		-	-	-	1930	2740 358	80.00	1980	2520	3900		1960	2030	4010				
Dissolved Silver (Ag)	ug/L	0.020			<0.020 <0.	020 <0.02	0 <0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020 <	0.020 <0.0	020 <0.02	0 <0.020	<0.020	-		<0.020	<0.020	< 0.020		<	0.020	<0.020	<0.020	-	-	-	- <	0.020 <	0.020 <0	0.020	<0.02	0 <0.020	< 0.020		<0.020	<0.020	<0.020				
Dissolved Strontium (Sr) Dissolved Thallium (TI)	ug/L	1.0	-		44.2 45	.4 42.6	45	44.3	43 <0.010	44.2 4	45.4 42 0.010 <0.0	2.6 40.8 010 <0.01	39.5 0 <0.010	41 <0.010			<0.010 ≤0.010	47.2 <0.010	48.8		4	0.010	46.7	47.6 <0.010			-	- 4	54.6 ·	45.8 5. 0.010 <0	2.70	<0.01	47.8 ) <0.010	47.1 <0.010		56.5 <0.010	56.4 <0.010	52.3 <0.010				
Dissolved Tin (Sn)	ug/L	5.0			<5.0 <5	.0 <5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0 <5.	.0 <5.0	<5.0	<5.0			<5.0	<5.0	<5.0			<5.0	<5.0	<5.0					<5.0	<5.0 <	5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0		<5.0	<5.0	<5.0				
Dissolved Titanium (Ti)	ug/L	5.0		-	<5.0 <5	.0 <5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0 <5.	.0 <5.0	<5.0	<5.0	-		<5.0	<5.0	<5.0			<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	-	-	-		<5.0	<5.0 <		<5.0	<5.0	<5.0		<5.0	<5.0	<5.0				
Dissolved Tungsten (W)	ug/L	1.0		-	<1.0 <1	.0 <1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0 <1	.0 <1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0			<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-	-	-	-	<1.0	<1.0 <		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0		<1.0	<1.0	<1.0				
Dissolved Uranium (U)	ug/L	0.10	-		<0.10 <0.	10 <0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10 <	<pre>:0.10 &lt;0.</pre>	.10 <0.10	> <0.10	<0.10	-		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		<	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	-	-	-	- (	<0.10 <	<0.10 <0		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10				
Dissolved Valiadium (V) Dissolved Zinc (Zn)	ug/L	5.0	-		<5.0 5.	5 8.4	<5.0	<5.0	5.8	<5.0	5.5 8.	.0 <5.0	18.7	<5.0			<5.0	15	6.6			<5.0	<5.0	<5.0					<5.0	6.9 <	5.0	<5.0	15.2	5.4		<5.0	<5.0	<5.0				
Dissolved Zirconium (Zr)	ug/L	0.50			<0.50 <0.	50 <0.50	0.12	<0.10	<0.10	<0.50 <	:0.50 <0.	.50 <0.10	0.1	0.1			<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		<	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	-	-	-		<0.10 <	:0.10 <0	0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10		<0.10	<0.10	<0.10				
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	0.05		-	16.1 1	6 15.2	14.7	14.9	14.5	16.1	16 15	.2 14.3	14.6	14.5	-		18.6	16.7	17.2		1	16.6	15.4	15.7	-	-		-	17.2	15.5 15	5.60	16.6	15.7	15.3		17.8	18	17.1				
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	0.05		-	1.53 1.	51 1.52	1.64	1.61	1.57	1.53	1.61 1.5	52 1.63	1.55	1.61	-		1.92	1.7	1.67		1	1.86	1.69	1.57	-		-	-	1.81	1.61 1		1.88	1.73	1.67		1.89	1.86	1.71				
Dissolved Potassium (K) Dissolved Sodium (Na)	mg/L mg/l	0.050	-		7.09 7.1	73 0.262 17 7.22	7 24	0.253	7.01	7.09	7.07 7.2	262 0.24	6 97	0.245			1.93	7.73	7 38			8.42	7 39	7.05			-	- (	7.81	7 14 7		8 35	7.61	7.29		0.356	0.324	7 54				
Dissolved Sulphur (S)	mg/L	3.0			<3.0 <3	.0 <3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0 <3.	.0 <3.0	<3.0	<3.0			1.95	<3.0	<3.0			<3.0	<3.0	<3.0					<3.0	<3.0 <	3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0		<3.0	<3.0	<3.0				
Additional Dissolved Metals by ICPMS	-																																									
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	50	14200 144	00 14300		-	-		-				-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-				
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	50	1550 15	30 1540		-		-		-												-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-					-					
Total Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	50	16800 15	00 14700																																	-					
Total Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	50	1760 16	00 1580			-						-	-	-		-					-	-		-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-			-	-				
CCME PAH IN SEDIMENTS BY GC-MS (SOIL)																																										
Calculated Parameters																										0.05																
Index of Additive Cancer Risk(IARC) Polycyclic Aromatics	N/A	0.1				-		•					-		-			-				1.1	-	-		0.25	-	0.59	-	-							-					
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.01																			< 0.017 (1)	-	-			<0.012 (1)	- <	0.010(1)		-		-					-					
2-Methylnaphthalene	mg/kg	0.01		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-	-		< 0.017 (1)	-	-	-		<0.012 (1)	- <	0.010 (1)	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.0051		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-	-		< 0.0084 (1)	-	-	-		< 0.0058 (1)	- <0	0.0051 (1)	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.0051		-		-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-		-				<0.0084 (1)	-	-	-	-	<0.0058 (1)	- 0.	0055 (1)	-	-	· · ·	-	-			-	-	-				
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.01					-		-	-					-				-		0.017(1)	-	-	-		0.021(2)	- 0	0.037 (2)	-	-		-	-			-		-				
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.01		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-	-		0.039 (1)	-	-	-		<0.012 (1)	- 0	0.012 (1)	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.01		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	· ·		-	-		0.11(1)	-	-	-		0.051(1)	- 0	0.067 (1)	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
Pyrene Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.01		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-							0.098 (1)	-		-	•	0.035(1)	- 0	0.045 (1)	-	-		-	-			-						
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.01				-	-		-	-				-	-				-		0.022 (1)	-	-	-		0.030(1)	- 4	0.010 (1)	-	-		-	-			-		-				
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.01		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-	-		0.076 (1)	-	-	-		0.023 (2)	- 0	0.044 (1)	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.01		-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	-		0.028 (1)	-	-	-		<0.012 (1)	- 0	0.014 (1)	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.01		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	· ·	-	-	-		0.031 (2)	-	-	-		<0.012 (1)	- 0	0.012 (2)	-	-			-	-		-	-	-				
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,b)anthracene	mg/kg mg/kg	0.02				-	-		-	-			-	-	-		1		-		0.067(1)	-	-	-		<0.0058 (1)	- 0	0055 (2)	-	-			-	-		-	-	-				
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	0.02		-			-	-	-				-	-	-		-				0.091 (1)	-	-	-		<0.023 (1)	- 0	0.046 (1)	-	-		-	-			-	-	-				
Low Molecular Weight PAH's	mg/kg	0.51	· · ·	-		-	-	-	-	-				-				-			<0.84	-	-	-		<0.58	-	<0.51	-	-			-	-		-	-	-				
High Molecular Weight PAH's	mg/kg	0.02		-		-	-	-	-	-				-	-		-	-	-		0.61	-	-	-		0.14	-	0.3	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
Total PAH	mg/kg	0.51	- · · ·	-		-		-	•	-			-	-	-	· ·	· ·	-	-		<0.84	-	-	-		<0.58	-	<0.51	-	-			-				-	-				
D10-ANTHRACENE (sur )	9/	N/A																			91					QE.		95														
D8-ACENAPHTHYLENE (sur.)	%	N/A N/A					-		-	-					-				-		85	-	-	-			-	89	-	-		-	-			-		-				
D8-NAPHTHALENE (sur.)	%	N/A		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-	-		78	-	-	-		88	-	80	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-				
TERPHENYL-D14 (sur.)	%	N/A				-		-	-	-				-	-			-	-		95	-	-			99		102	-	-		-	-			-	-	-				
Physical Properties																						05			02		01															
Notes:	%	0.3		-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-			1 -	-	-		1	95		-	92	-	91	-	-	-			-	-		-	-	-				
RDL = Reportable Detection Limit																																										
N/A = Not Applicable																																										
- = Parameter not analyzed	Report:																																									
"(1) Detection limits raised due to high mo	isture content,	sample cont	ains => 50% mois	ure.																																						

Detection minist also due to many moticate content, sample contains -> 30% moticate.
 Qualifying ion outside of acceptance criteria
 Results are tentatively identified and potentially biased high
 In addition, detection limits raised due to high motisture content, sample contains => 50% moisture."

# Discussion

Few water quality issues were identified for Enos Lake. Based on Secchi readings, and chlorophyll a and total phosphorus concentrations, Enos Lake shows characteristics of being mainly a mesotrophic (moderately biologically productive) lake (Nordin 2017). The high concentrations of total phosphorus and DO deficiencies in the hypolimnion indicate that the lake may be more productive than is desirable (Nordin 2017). Nordin (2017) suggests that climate change may pose a serious threat to Enos Lake, as rising water temperatures will likely result in increased productivity and longer stratification times and, in turn, even larger effects on DO levels in the hypolimnion. Nordin (2017) also suggests that the introduction of any aquatic invasive species could have seriously negative effects on the overall ecology of the lake. No preventative actions were taken with respect to aquatic invasive species this year, however, *Friends of Enos Lake* have expressed their interest in installing a sign to inform the public about the monitoring program and potential risks to the lake's unique ecosystem.

## Recommendations for Future Monitoring

There was a potential issue with instrument calibration during the March sampling event, as one of the values recorded for pH varies too widely from the other values recorded for it to be correct. Going forward, BCCF will ensure instruments are calibrated properly prior to sampling.

Continued monitoring for invasive species is recommended, in addition to continued monitoring for all water quality parameters, especially total phosphorus and DO concentrations, to monitor general lake productivity and continually assess trends of key parameters.

Additionally, Nordin (2017) suggests that obtaining a detailed bathymetric map of Enos Lake is important for determining the volume of Enos Lake, which is required for calculation of the lake's water budget. Nordin (2017) recommends that the lake's water budget be considered in the stormwater management plan for construction of the Fairwinds development. Finally,

Finally, Nordin (2017) recommends that Secchi readings be collected at a more frequent interval than is currently recommended in the ELPMP. The lake stewards may be willing to provide additional Secchi measurements, over and above the PGL-recommended frequency.

## References

BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection. 2003. Ambient Freshwater and Effluent Sampling Manual. Available at:

https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hts/risc/pubs/aquatic/ambient/part\_e\_water\_and\_wastewater\_sa mpling\_ambient\_freshwater\_and\_effluent\_sampling\_simulate\_template.pdf.

PGL Environmental Consultants. 2016. Enos Lake Protection and Monitoring Program (ELPMP). Prepared for: FW Enterprises Ltd. c/o Seacliff Properties.

Nordin, Rick. 2017. Enos Lake Protection and Monitoring Program: Review of 2017 Water Quality Data. Prepared for: BC Conservation Foundation.

# Appendix A: Field Photos



**Photo 1:** Looking southwest at typical shoreline habitat along south shore of lake (February 2017).



**Photo 3:** Volunteer deploying the 1 L Van Dorn water sampler at SWMP-03 (August 24, 2017).



Photo 2: BCCF staff collecting water samples at SWMP-03 (February 2017).



**Photo 4:** Volunteers deploying the 1 L Van Dorn water sampler at SWMP-03 (August 24, 2017).



**Photo 5:** Looking northeast at eastern edge of marsh island (June 2017).



**Photo 6:** Looking southeast towards south end of lake (June 2017).



**Photo 7:** Looking southeast at south end of lake (November 2017).



**Photo 8:** Looking northeast at rocky banks on northern lake shore (November 2017).

Appendix B: In-Situ Field Parameter Results

#### 1st Quarter Sampling

Date:	March 2, 2017
Time:	13:00
Crew :	J.D., J.A., N.R. (all BCCF employees)
Weather:	Overcast, light rain, 8°C
Secchi:	1.45 m

Profile - Site SWMP-03											
Depth (m)	Temp. (°C)	D.O. (mg/L)	рН	Conduc. (µS/cm)							
0.5	4.8	12.55	2.6*	140.2							
1	4.7	12.63	6.0	139.7							
2	4.7	12.65	6.0	140.0							
3	4.7	12.66	6.0	139.8							
4	4.7	12.67	6.1	139.9							
5	4.7	12.68	6.15	139.8							
6	4.7	12.68	6.45	139.7							
7	4.6	12.67	6.75	139.7							
8	4.6	12.68	7.1	139.7							
9	4.6	12.67	7.41	139.8							
10	4.6	12.65	7.59	139.6							
11	4.6	12.56	7.0	141.0							

NOTES:

\*This value is likely the result of a calibration issue or recording error. The differences in pH values between dates are implausible. It would seem unlikely that pH would vary as widely (2 pH units) as the data indicate (Nordin 2017).

-Redox potential was not recorded during this sampling event.

-Enos Lake was iced over for January, February and early March. Sampling was conducted approximately one week after ice came off the lake.

#### 2nd Quarter Sampling

Date:	June 2, 2017
Time:	11:00
Crew :	S.F., J.S. (BCCF employees)
Weather:	Overcast, light breeze, 14°C
Secchi:	2.80 m

Profile - Site SWMP-03										
Depth (m)	Temp. (°C)	D.O. (mg/L)	рН	Conduc. (µS/cm)						
1	19.2	6.47	8.01	12.5						
2	19.2	6.63	8.41	42.7						
3	19.2	6.84	8.50	40.1						
4	15.9	7.89	8.42	132.8						
5	12.3	9.41	8.44	117.6						
6	9.4	10.10	8.55	63.3						
7	8.6	9.99	8.51	108.7						
8	8.0	8.32	8.40	157.7						
9	7.8	7.30	8.34	89.7						
10	7.5	1.63	8.23	91.5						
11	7.4	1.64	8.11	63.1						
NOTES:	NOTES:									
Redox poter	ntial was not rec	orded during th	nis sam	pling event.						

## 3rd Quarter Sampling

Date:August 24, 2017Time:11:00Crew :S.F. (BCCF), P.L. (Friends of Enos Lake Volunteer)Weather:Sunny, 25°CSecchi:4.80 m

Profile - Site SWMP-03											
Depth		D.O.									
(m)	Temp. (°C)	(mg/L)	Conduc. (µS/cm)	рН	Redox (mV)						
1	22.6	7.53	143.2	8.13	56.6						
2	22.5	7.5	143.2	8.22	56.6						
3	22.3	7.7	142.6	8.2	65.2						
4	21.7	7.55	141.6	8.05	71.6						
5	16.1	7.27	126	7.52	87.4						
6	13	5.17	126.3	6.99	91.2						
7	10.2	2.25	127.9	6.83	83.1						
8	9.6	1.4	133.2	6.76	68.2						
9	9.6	1.25	134.4	6.75	59						
10	9.6	1.16	134.1	6.75	52.9						

#### 4th Quarter Sampling

Date:	November 20, 2017
Time:	11:30
Crew :	P.L, R.P (Friends of Enos Lake Volunteers)
Weather:	Overcast, dry, ~8°C
Secchi:	2.80 m

Profile - Site SWMP-03											
Depth		D.O.			Redox						
(m)	Temp. (°C)	(mg/L)	Conduc. (µS/cm)	рН	(mV)						
1	7.4	9.3	138.2	6.82	75.5						
2	7.3	9.2	138.4	6.94	81						
3	7.3	9.3	138.6	6.99	84.7						
4	7.3	9.3	138.7	7.03	88.7						
5	7.3	9.3	138.8	7.1	90.5						
6	7.3	9.15	138.7	7.12	92.6						
7	7.2	9.18	138.8	7.14	93.8						
8	7.2	9.26	138.7	7.15	94.9						
9	7.2	8.85	138.7	7.17	97.9						
10	7.2	8.83	138.7	7.17	98.9						
NOTES: According to Pete Law's observations, the lake rose between 1.0 and 1.5 m											
over the pa	ast seven days.										